

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Abernathy
Room 8B201
Copps
Washington, D.C. 20554
Martin
(202) 418-1000 phone

Chairman Michael K. Powell
Commissioner Kathleen Q.

Commissioner Michael J.

Commissioner Kevin J.

Reference: FCC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170
and NSD File No. L-00-72.

Dear FCC:

I am writing to complain about the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund and requesting that the FCC investigate this matter further before changing the current policy. Your proposed \$1.00 per month charge for all wireless phones will directly impact my ability to retain my wireless service.

I do not think it is fair to charge EVERYBODY \$1.00 dollar regardless of how they use their wireless phone, especially for a low-volume user that relies on wireless service for safety and security, not interstate calls. The current policy is fair, based on interstate usage, and should be left alone. Please do not penalize us. Keep this fair.

As another writer comments above I agree. My wireless phone is not used for general use. I only have the basic minutes on my phone and it is used as an emergency phone. The security of having a phone in my car if I were to break down is reassuring to me. If the \$7.99 a month I pay for my 10 minutes of airtime goes up \$1.00, I may be forced to stop the service. I know it is only \$1.00 per month, but at .79\$ a minute for the current service, going up to almost \$1.00 per minute I cannot justify. I know it's only a dollar but it is the principle of it all. We as consumers are hit with fees all over the place. Will it ever end.....

People that have cellphones with unlimited hours, tend to pay \$40 or so a month for their service. Increasing their rates \$1.00 will not discourage those users into terminating their phone service. Those are the people that have the constant need and perhaps in some cases, this is their main telephone. I know of many people that have cancelled their in home phones and only use the wireless phone service as their primary.

Please reconsider the proposed Universal Service Fund. Enough is enough!!

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. Please provide a written response indicating the status/resolution of this matter.

Very truly yours,

Nancy Dillon
360 Shaw Road

CC: FCC Subcommittee Members

